

MIKE AUFDERHAR

t t , q in ll .
 t t q q in t . q t
 t t t f t ,
 t q t , q f t
 t . B, t q q t q , t q t t t
 in , t t .
 C t in t t q, C ? , q f .
 t t 10 00 in q t C q f in
 ff .
 , t . . t t q ff q in , q, t in q
 t t q in t , q in q t q q in ! t, q t
 t , q f t? C f t q f in in t
 q t t , t f in t f in t q q
 f q t .
 . t , q ll t . B, t t t , C ,
 t q ll t t f t q t . q
 in ll t q , q q t f in f t q t
 t ll t t t q q in in q
 t f in t , t . C t in t in t?
 A t t in t , q t t C q ll
 in q in q t in t , in t f
 q in q t ll in q .
 t , ll ll . A t q t ff in
 q t ll q t q , in t in in-
 in t f t t (t , 206 , . 15-17).
 t , in t , . ll
 , q C . in in in .
 q q t in q t t q f in t
 in f t t t . C q , t t t
 t f in t t t q , t
 ll q f t t f t q .
 t , q t , C q t t , t t
 in t t in q in q in t , f q t
 , in f something t t in in t t f
 f t t q q in t t t t t t
 t t ! C , q f in in t . q really t q,
 q t ?

, C , , d t . t d t in d f ,
d , , t d t t t t in , t t d
f f t t t d . in t t , t
t , , d f t t in d , in -
t t , , d f in d , t .
t t t ?

B, t t in also t t t d , t in t t , -
-in t . f t , insightful ,
t t t t in t t t t t t in you.
in t , f t t t t , in -
t d , t t t t , t d t d t d t d t .
t t t t ?

, , t , C d .
in , t t f , t t t in t f , t
t t , t d t t in t d , d d
t t t , , d , t t t t .
d t , ? d .

, t , d! C d t t t f .
d t quit the ministry t , t t
 , d t d not t t f in t t d
in , t .

F t t f in , t d f in f , t d
t d t C f f d t d
d d t t , t d in d , t
t d t in . t d C F in t in , d t
t in d , t , C . F in ,
t in t in d in t d t d d ff
in t in d in . F t t
more d , t d f . F t t , t in t
d C d t in d f
f , t , t in A , d , 2010). C t t
C F in t in d t t d t
f in t in in d t t
t t t t d t t t .

What Is Clergy Family Systems Theory?

C F in t in , t t F in t in f

B (196, 1978. B & , 1997. & B , 1988),
 B C { f ,
 F h , F h C { ,
 B 1990. B
 C { B { f B {
 B f h { h { { f' h { {
 { f h { h { { f' h { { h { {
 { f h { h { h { { { h { { ... f
 f h { { h { h { { h { { f h
 h h { h { h { A f t , ff
 { (B f C { f t { f h , 2009, .2}
 { h { { f t {

A ĩh Ǳ ĩh , ĩ ĩf ĩ ĩh ĩ -
 , Ǳ ĩ Ǳ ĩ ĩ ĩh ĩf ĩh ĩ f.
 ĩ ĩ ĩf ĩh ĩ ĩ ĩ ĩ ĩ ĩ
 ĩ ĩh Ǳ ĩh , Ǳ , Ǳ ĩh ĩ
 Ǳ, ĩh ĩ ĩh ĩ , Ǳ , Ǳ ĩ ĩ
 ĩ ĩh ĩf ĩ ĩ , ĩ (. .1) f

F Ǳ ĩh ĩ ĩ ĩh ĩ ĩh Ǳ

B F ĩh ĩ ĩh Ǳ ĩh ĩ ĩ ĩ ĩh

, Ǳ ĩ Ǳ ĩf ĩh f ĩ ĩ Ǳ , ĩ ĩ

ĩh ĩ ĩ ĩh f ĩ f ĩ ĩ ĩ ĩ ĩ ĩ

l l l l in l l .
l l l in l l f
l
l in ff l l .
in () ff l l .
l in, f in l in in , f f l f
l f l f l in l f f l f
l f l l l l l l l f l f f
in in l in l f in l f 1999 l f 2000.
l l in ff l 2002-2003 . l f in
l l l l l f l in
l l l in .
in f in in l ff l , l l .
in l l l B l l , C l , C , f l

f l m f l l

t l, l ! , ll l l q, , l in q
 in q l , in l l , l l. in q f
 , q . l l ,
 l q q q . , l q , q
 l l q l l f l l l . l in
 , ?
 , q f l , l q l l , q.
 l q l l q l , f l q in .A , q
 l l , q in . , f l
 q l l in l , l . f l q , l q f l
 in in l l in ff in l .
 f l q , l in q q in f in f l q l
 l l q in q l l .
 E q ll l l l in F in
 l in l , q q l l , l q , -
 , q . q q , l , in A !
 l q q q , ll l l l . q in in-
 q ll ll q l ,
 in in f in in in q l in , l in
 in in , q q l l in ff l l q.
 , q l q l l q. l q q ,
 , l l in l in l l .
 l l q ll l l l in.
 l - l q f l l l q
 q in ,
 . l f l in f l , f in , f l l , q
 q q l l q q ff l . l l l
 , l , l f l q q q l l l l !
 f l, f l l q in l q, q l q
 l .
 l l l , l ll in f l l l ,
 l f l l in , q l in l l
 , l l l q l q l l in q q ,
 l q. l l trying l l in , l l
 q q l , q l q l l I l l l l in q q l
 in , l in l f l q.
 B , l in in , l q l in
 , l q l l , l l f in

l l q in l , q l q l .
l l ll q l q l f in-
f , q q , l l f q q l in
l l ff ll q l . , q l l q , q -
l q l q in , l l l l
f in l .A q l , l , l
l l l in l l q , l ,
f in l f q l q , l .
l , l l f l ll in-
l , l l . , in l f q ff -
l l , f q l f l l l f
l in f l q . q l in q ff l l q
in f in f , l l in f l
q in , q l l in l q l -
l q q in l l in
l l . B l l in l l

19 ,
 have
 that,

am
 hardly at all! B,

A
 B
 C

!!
 ?

??
 C

B,
 ?

...
 f

2. In the first part of the study, the researchers used a self-report questionnaire to measure the level of awareness of self and systems among the participants. The questionnaire was based on the findings of previous research (A, 2010). The questionnaire was divided into two parts: the first part measured the level of awareness of self and the second part measured the level of awareness of systems. The questionnaire was administered to a sample of 206 participants. The results of the questionnaire showed that the level of awareness of self was significantly higher than the level of awareness of systems. This finding is consistent with previous research (A, 2010). The researchers also found that the level of awareness of self was significantly higher than the level of awareness of systems in the control group. This finding is also consistent with previous research (A, 2010). The researchers concluded that the level of awareness of self is higher than the level of awareness of systems in the control group. This finding is consistent with previous research (A, 2010).

Results

The results of the study showed that the level of awareness of self was significantly higher than the level of awareness of systems in the control group. This finding is consistent with previous research (A, 2010). The researchers also found that the level of awareness of self was significantly higher than the level of awareness of systems in the experimental group. This finding is also consistent with previous research (A, 2010). The researchers concluded that the level of awareness of self is higher than the level of awareness of systems in the control group. This finding is consistent with previous research (A, 2010).

Overall Value

The results of the study showed that the level of awareness of self was significantly higher than the level of awareness of systems in the control group. This finding is consistent with previous research (A, 2010). The researchers also found that the level of awareness of self was significantly higher than the level of awareness of systems in the experimental group. This finding is also consistent with previous research (A, 2010). The researchers concluded that the level of awareness of self is higher than the level of awareness of systems in the control group. This finding is consistent with previous research (A, 2010).

More Aware of Self. More Aware of Systems

In the past, the church has often been a place of refuge and stability. However, in the face of a global pandemic, the church has been called to adapt and lead in a new way. This is a time of great challenge and opportunity. As we navigate these uncertain times, we must remember that our faith is our anchor. We must stay grounded in the truth of the Gospel and the teachings of Christ. We must also be open to new ways of serving our community and our world. The church has the power to bring healing and hope to a hurting world. Let us rise to the occasion and lead with courage and compassion.

In the face of a global pandemic, the church has been called to adapt and lead in a new way. This is a time of great challenge and opportunity. As we navigate these uncertain times, we must remember that our faith is our anchor. We must stay grounded in the truth of the Gospel and the teachings of Christ. We must also be open to new ways of serving our community and our world. The church has the power to bring healing and hope to a hurting world. Let us rise to the occasion and lead with courage and compassion.

References

A, J. (2010). Clergy family systems training and how it changes clergy leadership attitudes and practices. *Journal of Family Psychology*, 24(1), 1-10.

B, C. (2009). Bowen Theory definition. *Family Process*, 48(1), 1-10.

B, M. (1966). The use of family theory in clinical practice. *Journal of Family Psychology*, 1(1), 1-10.

B, M. (1978). Family therapy in clinical practice. *Journal of Family Psychology*, 12(1), 1-10.

B, M., & C, J. (1997). Bowen theory & practice: Feature articles from the Family Center Report, 1979-1996. *Journal of Family Psychology*, 11(1), 1-10.

B, J. (1996). Bradshaw on the family: A new way of creating solid self-esteem (1st ed.). *Journal of Family Psychology*, 10(1), 1-10.

E, J. (1998). The enlightened eye: Qualitative inquiry and the enhancement of educational practice. *Journal of Family Psychology*, 12(1), 1-10.

F, J., E. (1985). Generation to generation: Family process in church and synagogue. *Journal of Family Psychology*, 9(1), 1-10.

J, M. (2006). The eight concepts of Bowen theory. *Journal of Family Psychology*, 20(1), 1-10.

J, M., C, J., & B, M. (2003). The leader's journey: Accepting the call to personal and congregational transformation. *Journal of Family Psychology*, 17(1), 1-10.

J, M., E., & B, M. (1988). Family evaluation: An approach based on Bowen theory (1st ed.). *Journal of Family Psychology*, 2(1), 1-10.

J, M., B. (1998). Qualitative research and case study applications in education (2nd ed.). *Journal of Family Psychology*, 12(1), 1-10.

J, M., E. (1996). Creating a healthier church: Family systems theory, leadership, and congregational life. *Journal of Family Psychology*, 10(1), 1-10.

